UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,250	10/17/2005	Hiroshi Kase	00005.001217.	6976
	7590 06/22/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEL		CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10112	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,250	KASE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Renee Claytor	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing to). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ().	g date of the final rejectio	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	t waisan to the data of filing a baist	مط لمصمعهم مطاعمه النب	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ol>	isideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 23,24 and 31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617			

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Applicants argue that Goodman & Gilman's teaches that specific antidepressants may be used for treating severe types of anxiety disorders and that antidepressants are not all generally useful for treating such disorders. Applicants further argue that since the mechanism of Suzuki's compound A is not know, it would not have been obvious to use it for treating anxiety disorder.

It is noted that Goodman & Gilman's does refer to specific antidepressants for treating anxiety disorders, but it is well within the skill in the art for one to recognize by the teachings of Goodman and Gilman's that antidepressants are an indicated treatment for anxiety disorders as pointed ou in the first sentence of the last paragraph in the left column on page 469 which reads "Antidepressants also are leading choices in the treatment of severe anxiety disorders, including panic-agora-phobia syndrome, generalized anxiety disorder, social phobia and obsessive-compulsive disorder...". Therefore it would be obvious that one of ordinary skill in the art would contemplate the use of antidepressants in the treatment of anxiety. Regarding the arguments concerning Suzuki, it is noted that in Col.1, lines 29-59 describe that adenosine antagonistic function is found in compounds that overlap with that of the present invention; therefore, the compounds have a known mechanism of action.